

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,591	12/04/2003	Norihisa Yato	01-523	6918
23400	7590 06/21/2005		EXAMINER	
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC			NGUYEN, THU V	
12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	A 20191		3661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comment	10/726,591	YATO, NORIHISA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thu Nguyen	3661
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the period of the period of the period of the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4.5 and 7</u> is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 8</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		,
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		1
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/04.	6) Other;,	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 00905

M.

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on April 5, 2005 has been entered. By this amendment, claims 4, 5, 7 have been withdrawn from consideration, and claims 1-3, 6, and 8 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 3, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tajima et al (US 2003/0100323) in view of Kurokawa et al (US 5,546,092).

As per claim 1, 8, Tajima teaches a device comprising: a time indicating unit 2 (fig.1); a designating unit for designating time (lines 1-5 of para 0050); a time changing unit for changing the time on the indicating unit (para 0065); Tajima further teaches a displaying unit capable of displaying the indicated time from the time indicating unit 8 (fig.1) (para 0034). Taijma does not explicitly disclose an arrival time estimating unit for estimating an arrival time at a destination, changing the estimated arrival time based on the given period, and displaying the estimated arrival time. However, Tajima teaches the capability of changing several set times such as scheduled times together with the change of the present time (para 0051; 0070), moreover, Kurokawa teaches the capability of determining and displaying estimate time for arrival at a

destination (col.6, lines 46-64) and the capability of compensating time difference between the local time and the universal time of convention (col.5, lines 10-15); furthermore, determining arrival time by adding the time at the present location with the period necessary for travel to the destination would have been well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the capability of determining the expected time of arrival of Kurokawa, to write and to display the expected time of arrival to the memory device of Tajima in order to facilitate providing the user expected time of arrival that is adjusted when the time at the origin is adjusted.

As per claim 2, Kurokawa teaches setting time difference in units of hours (col.5, lines 10-15; fig.3).

As per claim 3, Tajima teaches detecting moving to a region that has a different time standard (lines 5-9 of para 0050); computing time following the time standard of a region (lines 1-7 of para 0051); Tajima also teaches detecting moving to a region that has a different time standard, computing given time (the time difference in Tajima) and designating the given time into which the time changing unit changes the time indicated by the indicating unit (para 0051; 0064-0065).

As per claim 6, mounting a navigation device to a vehicle would have been well known.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not 3. persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument on page 7, last two paragraphs, Tajima does not explicitly teach a navigation device. However, Tajima teaches an electronic PDA (para 0005) or a portable electronic apparatus having GPS unit (para 0024; 0026). It is noted that including navigation feature to a PDA having GPS unit would have been well known. Therefore including navigation features taught by Kurokawa to the PDA device of Tajima would have been obvious.

In response to applicant's argument on page 8, first paragraph, concerning the time changing unit, the arrival time estimating unit, refer to the claim rejection 35 USC 103 in claim 1 above. Concerning displaying unit, Tajima at least teaches displaying the indicating time provided by the indicating unit 8 (fig.2) (para 0034), and Kurokawa teaches displaying estimated arrival time with local time compensated (col.6, lines 55-63; col.5, lines 10-15). Displaying both the time information on a display when such the time data is available would have been an obvious matter of design choice.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6967. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 9, 2005

THU V. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

lywerber